Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Impact Assessment



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration. In all appropriate instances we will need to carry out an equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment.

This form:

- can be used to prompt discussion when carrying out your impact assessment
- should be completed either during the assessment process or following completion of the assessment
- should include a brief explanation where a section is not applicable

Directorate: Resources and Housing	Service area: Sustainable energy and Climate change
Lead person: Polly Cook	Contact number:
Date of the equality, diversity, cohesion 04/10/2017	and integration impact assessment:
1. Title: The future of the Warm Homes Set to Public Health and Leeds Adults and Health	ervice and Green Doctor Scheme in Relation alth.
Is this a:	
Strategy /Policy X Service	e / Function Other
If other, please specify	

2. Members of the assessment team:

Name	Organisation	Role on assessment team e.g. service user, manager of service, specialist
Robert Curtis	Leeds City Council	Programme Officer

3. Summary of strategy, policy, service or function that was asse	ssed:
The Public Health Programme Board recently asked officers to investig Public Health funded Warmth for Wellbeing service should be jointly of the Home Independence services which they also fund. Given how closer Wellbeing is with the Green Doctor and Warm Homes Services, it was sensible to consider whether these schemes should also be included in	ommissioned with sely aligned Warmth was considered
Following an options appraisal undertaken by officers from the Sustain Climate Change Team, Adults and Health and Leeds Public Health, the Programme Board expressed a preference for all the affordable warms jointly commissioned with the home independence services. As a result delegated decision report has been submitted to Neil Evans which required	e Public Health th services to be It of this, a
 The authorisation to jointly commission the Green Doctor and V Services with Warmth for Wellbeing and Home Independence The continuation of SECC funding towards the services for the contract The delegation of the procurement decisions to the Executive D Health. 	duration of the new
4. Scope of the equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impa (complete - 4a. if you are assessing a strategy, policy or plan and 4b. i a service, function or event)	
4a. Strategy, policy or plan	
(please tick the appropriate box below)	
The vision and themes, objectives or outcomes	
The vision and themes, objectives or outcomes and the supporting guidance	
A specific section within the strategy, policy or plan	
Please provide detail:	
4b. Service, function, event please tick the appropriate box below	
The whole service	X

(including service provision and employment)				
A specific part of the service (including service provision or employment or a specific section of the service)				
Procuring of a service (by contract or grant)	X			
Please provide detail: The decision encompasses both the future procurement and management of the Green Doctor scheme and Warm homes Service which are currently grant funded by the Sustainable energy and Climate Change Team.				
5. Fact finding – what do we already know Make a note here of all information you will be using to carry out this a could include: previous consultation, involvement, research, results from surveys, equality monitoring and customer/ staff feedback.	om perception			
(priority should be given to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration	related information)			
The Green Doctor scheme and Warm Homes Service currently assist across the City who are either in, or at risk of falling into fuel poverty o health. The decision is only concerned with the procurement and futur this service and will not affect the support available or the eligibility crit	r cold related ill e management of			
For the compilation of the report, officers from the Sustainable energy team, Leeds Public Health and Adults and Health undertook an option investigating future options for the different services. Jointly procuring affordable warmth and home improvement services was identified as to by the Public Health Programme Board.	s appraisal and managing all the			
Are there any gaps in equality and diversity information				
Please provide detail:				
N/A				
Action required:				
N/A				

6. Wider involvement – have you involved groups of people who are most likely to be affected or interested

X Yes	No			
Please provide detail:				
We have consulted with officers from Accommission the other schemes which we determined the Doctor and Warm Homes Service. We have not consulted with service used available or who it is available to.	vould be have also dable Wa	procured and mana oconsulted with the armth Partnership.	aged e Pub	with the Green lic Health
Action required:				
N/A				
7. Who may be affected by this active please tick all relevant and significant enthat apply to your strategy, policy, service.	quality c		ehold	ers and barriers
Equality characteristics				
X Age	X	Carers	X	Disability
Gender reassignment		Race		Religion or Belief
Sex (male or female)		Sexual orientation	า	
χ Other				
(Other can include – marriage and civil	•			•
areas that impact on or relate to equality: tackling poverty and improving health and well- being)				
Please specify: Fuel Poor residents and those affected by cold related ill health.				
Stakeholders				
X Services users		Employees		Trade Unions

X	Partners	Mei	mbers	X	Suppliers
	Other please specify				
Potentia	l barriers.				
	Built environment		Location of p	oremis	es and services
	Information and communication		Customer ca	are	
	Timing		Stereotypes	and as	ssumptions
	Cost		Consultation	n and ii	nvolvement
	Financial exclusion		Employmen	t and ti	raining
	specific barriers to the stra	ategy, polic	cy, services or	functi	on
Please s	pecify				
Think abo	ve and negative impact out what you are assessing (so and negative impact on equality				
8a. Posit	ive impact:				
We don't believe that there will be a large impact on particular equality groups as neither the eligibility criteria nor the support available through the schemes will be affected by the decision. There may be some positive impact as more formal links between affordable warmth and home improvement services will enable more vulnerable residents to access both parts of the service, leading to them having more holistic help.					
Action r	equired:				
N/A					

8b. Negative impact:
We don't believe that there will be a negative impact on particular equality groups as neither the eligibility criteria nor the support available through the schemes will be affected by the decision.
Action required:
N/A
IV/A
9. Will this activity promote strong and positive relationships between the groups/communities identified?
Yes X No
Please provide detail:
The scheme is aimed at assisting vulnerable individuals within their own homes, therefore will not impact on relationships between groups and communities.
Action required: N/A
40 December 2011 in the section of t
10. Does this activity bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other? (e.g. in schools, neighbourhood, workplace)
Yes X No
Please provide detail:
The scheme is aimed at assisting vulnerable individuals within their own homes, therefore will not bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other.

Action required:		
N/A		
11. Could this activity be perceived as benefiting one group at the expense of another? (e.g. where your activity/decision is aimed at adults could it have an impact on children and young people)		
Yes X No		
Please provide detail:		
The decision is purely about the procurement and management of the schemes, not the eligibility of service users or what support is available.		
Action required:		
N/A		

12. Equality, diversity, cohesion and integration action plan (insert all your actions from your assessment here, set timescales, measures and identify a lead person for each action)

Action	Timescale	Measure	Lead person

	ed the actions and outcom	nes from the equality, diversity,		
cohesion and integration im	1			
Name	Job Title	Date		
Robert Curtis Programme Officer		04/10/2017		
Date impact assessment of	04/10/2017			
14. Monitoring progress f actions (please tick)	or equality, diversity, co	phesion and integration		
	e Planning performance r	monitoring		
As part of Project	t monitoring			
Update report wi Please specify w	II be agreed and provided hich board	I to the appropriate board		
Other (please specify)				
15. Publishing				
	xecutive Board, Full Co	pard to equality the council only uncil, Key Delegated		
Though all key decisions ar publishes those related to E Decisions or a Significant A copy of this equality impar	xecutive Board, Full Co Operational Decision.			
Though all key decisions ar publishes those related to E Decisions or a Significant A copy of this equality imparted decision making report: • Governance Services	xecutive Board, Full Co Operational Decision. ct assessment should be	uncil, Key Delegated		
Though all key decisions are publishes those related to Expectations or a Significant. A copy of this equality imparts decision making report: Governance Services Council. The appropriate direct	executive Board, Full Co Operational Decision. The ct assessment should be a swill publish those relating extorate will publish those relating	uncil, Key Delegated attached as an appendix to the		
Though all key decisions are publishes those related to E Decisions or a Significant. A copy of this equality impact decision making report: Governance Services Council. The appropriate direct and Significant Operation. A copy of all other educations.	executive Board, Full Co Operational Decision. In the second publish those relating extorate will publish those relational Decisions.	attached as an appendix to the g to Executive Board and Full relating to Delegated Decisions as that are not to be published		
Though all key decisions are publishes those related to E Decisions or a Significant. A copy of this equality impact decision making report: Governance Services Council. The appropriate direct and Significant Operation of all other expended by the sent to equality impact decision making report: A copy of all other expended by the sent to equality impact decision making report:	executive Board, Full Co Operational Decision. In assessment should be as will publish those relating ctorate will publish those relational Decisions. In all ty impact assessment a light ty the an all ty the all the all ty the all the a	attached as an appendix to the g to Executive Board and Full relating to Delegated Decisions as that are not to be published for record.		
Though all key decisions are publishes those related to E Decisions or a Significant. A copy of this equality impart decision making report: Governance Services Council. The appropriate direct and Significant Operate. A copy of all other expended to equal to each should be sent to equal to each and significant to each and signific	ct assessment should be so will publish those relating ctorate will publish those relational Decisions. It is will be with the date of the control of	attached as an appendix to the g to Executive Board and Full relating to Delegated Decisions as that are not to be published for record.		
Though all key decisions are publishes those related to E Decisions or a Significant. A copy of this equality impart decision making report: Governance Services Council. The appropriate direct and Significant Operation of all other expended by the sent to equal to the expense of the sent to equal to the expense of the sent to equal to the expense of the expense	ct assessment should be so will publish those relating ctorate will publish those relational Decisions. It is publish those relational Decisions. It is published assessment uality impact assessment uality team@leeds.gov.uk ection below with the date Council – sent to	attached as an appendix to the g to Executive Board and Full relating to Delegated Decisions as that are not to be published for record.		